INTRODUCTION

The ACLU of Nebraska is a non-profit, non-partisan organization that works to defend and strengthen the individual freedoms and civil liberties guaranteed in the United States and Nebraska Constitutions through policy advocacy, litigation and education. We serve over 2,000 members and supporters throughout our great state and represent more than 500,000 members nationwide.

The ACLU is committed to protecting the constitutional rights of juveniles in detention. The use of solitary confinement violates juveniles' rights under the following theories:

- **US Constitution 8th Amendment** prohibition of cruel and unusual punishment
- **US Constitution 5th Amendment** guarantee of due process
- **US Constitution 14th Amendment** guarantee of due process
- **Nebraska State Constitution Article I-9** prohibition of cruel and unusual punishment
- **Nebraska State Constitution Article I-3**
guarantee of due process
- **Americans with Disabilities Act**

On any given day in Nebraska, juvenile justice facilities routinely subject kids in their care to solitary confinement. The solitary confinement of children is suspect from a legal and policy perspective. Solitary confinement can cause extreme psychological, physical, and developmental harm. For adults, the effects can be persistent mental health problems, or worse, suicide. And for children, who are still developing and more vulnerable to irreparable harm, the risks of solitary are magnified – protracted isolation and solitary confinement can be permanently damaging, especially for those with mental illness. It is time to scrutinize the use of solitary confinement on children. Nebraska should strictly limit and uniformly regulate isolation practices to ensure our state comports with best practices that provide positive outcomes for vulnerable youth and to ensure Nebraska quickly remedies potential systemic legal issues.
Dylan spent 10 to 12 hours locked away from other youth when he was 14 and in an Omaha psychiatric facility. He had admitted that he felt suicidal or self-harming. In response, his facility put him in “the quiet room.”

“It was set up to be the definition of insanity. Just the four white walls, the camera, the mattress. It was horrible. It felt horrible. It was more anxiety-producing because you’re not talking to anyone. If you can’t be lucky enough to fall asleep then you have nothing and it’s just waiting for the human being to come back to the door. It’s so upsetting, you’re alone with your thoughts. No one to talk it out with. Not even a window to look out of.

In order to avoid being put back into the quiet room, Dylan lost all trust in the system and changed his future interaction with staff:

“So I learned to never say anything real after that to keep them happy. Even when I did feel terrible and wished I could talk about my depression or suicidal thoughts, I stayed silent.”
Before they are old enough to get a driver’s license, enlist in the armed forces, or vote, some children in Nebraska are held in solitary confinement for days, weeks—and even months. This practice occurs in every Nebraska juvenile justice facility, to varying degrees, but the overarching theme of overuse is consistent throughout the state. On any given day in Nebraska, juvenile justice facilities routinely subject the kids in their care to solitary confinement. Like adult prisons, juvenile facilities sometimes employ the most counterproductive and inhumane correctional practices—including extended periods of solitary confinement, room restriction, isolation, segregation, and seclusion. Isolation practices frequently involve placing a youth alone in a cell for several hours, sometimes for multiple days; restricting contact with family members; limiting access to reading and writing materials; and providing limited educational programming, recreation, drug treatment, or mental health services.

Throughout this report, “solitary confinement” refers to any physical and social isolation of children in juvenile detention facilities. It does not refer to short intervention “time out” practices used to help a juvenile manage current acting out behavior.

While temporary use of seclusion for a youth may be necessary to maintain the safety and security of that youth or other people, the use of solitary confinement on children in Nebraska is clearly overused, and can cause much more serious problems than those it is supposedly employed to solve. Additionally, our research has uncovered that frequently the reasons why young people are placed in solitary confinement can be for even relatively minor offenses, such as talking back to staff members, having too many books, or refusing to follow directions. This research gives rise to the concern that juvenile facilities in Nebraska are not utilizing best practices for the use of solitary confinement and thus are risking serious mental health impacts for vulnerable youth.

The ACLU of Nebraska generated the idea for this research in concert with a growing national conversation about the very specific harms of solitary confinement on juvenile brain development. Mental health professionals have established that the negative psychological impacts of solitary on the adult brain are greatly magnified on the developing juvenile brain and can lead to permanent damage. The American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatrists oppose the use of solitary confinement for juveniles. Experts at the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative recommend a juvenile be placed in solitary for no longer than four hours.2

Our partners at Voices for Children recently completed a multi-year study of the two youth centers run by the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHIS) at Geneva and Kearney.3 Their findings show these facilities are making some progress to decrease the average stay in solitary confinement but both facilities are still far in excess of best practices. For example, some facilities are holding children up to five days in restricted settings without peer contact, as described later in this report.

While vitally important, this research of state facilities does not tell the full story of youth solitary confinement in Nebraska since it was limited to only the two DHHIS-operated facilities. In 2015 the ACLU of Nebraska decided to conduct more comprehensive statewide research regarding all of the remaining juvenile detention centers in Nebraska to determine what, if any, their written policies are and their actual practices in regards to the use of solitary confinement.

There are two other state facilities—the Nebraska Department of Corrections houses young male offenders at the Nebraska Correctional Youth Facility and houses young
female offenders at the York Correctional Center for Women. There are also five county facilities located in Douglas County, Lancaster County, Sarpy County, Northeast Nebraska Juvenile Services in Norfolk, and Scotts Bluff County.

The results of our research demonstrates that these facilities are using solitary far more frequently and for far longer periods than their DHHS counterparts and far in excess of best practices. This report explains how solitary confinement harms children, catalogs solitary confinement policies used by Nebraska’s juvenile detention facilities, and outlines a path to reform that would decrease the use of solitary confinement in juvenile detention centers because we can and we must do better for our vulnerable youth in Nebraska.

Nebraska far exceeds the national average for the number of youth residing in juvenile detention, correction, or residential facilities. In fact, Nebraska has the third highest per capita number of youth in juvenile facilities as ranked by the Annie E. Casey Kids Count Data Center. Additionally, our research reveals that Nebraska is also an outlier in terms of policies that permit lengthy periods of solitary confinement, with one shocking example of a policy allowing for the use of solitary for up to 90 days. Even more disturbing, some facilities surveyed have no policies governing solitary confinement or data to track usage of solitary confinement. As described below, most of Nebraska’s neighboring states restrict the use of solitary for youth along a range of 24 hours to 5 days.

Approximately 8,000 juvenile cases are reported to the Nebraska Crime Commission each year. Thus, reform in this area is critical to improving the quality of life for the hundreds of vulnerable Nebraska children in detention facilities within the juvenile justice system. It is also important to note that the juvenile justice system has disproportionate impacts among communities of color: 55% of juveniles in detention in our state are children of color.

Many young Nebraskans who are presently detained are not public safety threats and could potentially be rehabilitated through much less restrictive means or at the very least should not be subjected to mental anguish during their period of detention. These conditions of confinement can have long lasting effects on their ability to successfully transition back into their families, our communities, and the economy.

4 in 20 youth in Nebraska are youth of color.

11 in 20 youth in Nebraska Juvenile Detention Facilities are youth of color.

Source: Kids Count Data Center
Lisa was placed in solitary confinement in an Omaha psychiatric facility after threatening self-harm at age 14.

The room had mesh over the window so you couldn’t look outside. It was an empty room with a cement floor, just plain white walls. There was no mat, nothing in there with you, the room was totally stripped bare. When they closed the steel door, I’d hold onto the door jamb, trying to make it impossible for them to shut me in.

Ironically (because I was in solitary for self-harm), I survived my time alone by just falling back on hurting myself. I’d bite my own cheeks and tongue, banging my head on the wall.

Lisa is now a psychologist and mother. She works with young people with behavioral health problems, motivated in part by her desire to ensure no juvenile goes through what she did.
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Recent Supreme Court jurisprudence makes clear that youth and adults must be treated differently in the context of crime and punishment. For example, we no longer permit juveniles to be given the death penalty nor life without parole. As the United States Supreme Court wrote in abolishing life without parole for juveniles, “…developments in psychology and brain science continue to show fundamental differences between juvenile and adult minds.”

In addition to these Supreme Court opinions on the difference between youth and adults, there is a growing trend among lower courts in cases specifically regarding juveniles in solitary confinement. For instance, two young men who experienced mental health deterioration while held in solitary confinement in juvenile facilities in New Jersey prevailed against the state in a $400,000 settlement. Similar lawsuits have been filed with successful resolutions in Illinois, New York and Ohio.

International human rights law also distinguishes between youth and adults, mandating that youth who commit crimes receive rehabilitative punishments appropriate to their age and status. According to the United Nations Special Rapporteur on Torture, solitary confinement of youth is cruel, inhumane and degrading treatment and in some cases, torture.

The ACLU’s research in Nebraska shows that Nebraska facilities fail to comply with constitutional and human rights law.
INVENTORY OF NEBRASKA JUVENILE DETENTION FACILITIES & SOLITARY CONFINEMENT POLICIES

Nebraska currently has nine juvenile detention centers.

**Two state youth centers run by the Department of Health and Human Services**

1. Youth Rehabilitation and Treatment Center at Kearney - males
2. Youth Rehabilitation and Treatment Center at Geneva - females

**Two state facilities run by the Nebraska Department of Corrections**

3. Nebraska Correctional Youth Facility - males
4. Nebraska Correctional Center for Women - females

**Five county facilities**

5. Douglas County Youth Center
6. Lancaster County Youth Services
7. Northeast Nebraska Juvenile Services Center (Norfolk)
8. Sarpy County Juvenile Justice Center
9. Scotts Bluff County Detention Center

As evidenced in the policy inventory below it is apparent that young people are held in solitary confinement as a form of punishment for major and minor rule violations; they are held in solitary as a safety precaution to protect them from adult inmates; they are held in solitary to promote prison management; and sometimes, they are held in solitary for medical purposes. The myriad of ways a young person can be placed in solitary is accompanied by time limit variations that reflect facility-unique policies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Youth Rehabilitation and Treatment Center - Kearney</td>
<td>e. Confinement in a security room for any offense should not exceed five days, unless otherwise provided by law. Juveniles placed in confinement are afforded living conditions and privileges that may be earned approximating those available to the general population. (Standard 4-JCF-3C-04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Rehabilitation and Treatment Center - Geneva</td>
<td>b) A juvenile may not be placed in room confinement for over 5 days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska Correctional Youth Facility and Nebraska Correctional Center for Women</td>
<td>a. The total number of days that an inmate may be placed on restriction, for each convicted offense, shall not exceed 90 calendar days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas County Youth Center</td>
<td>A detainee charged with violating a Class (1) or (2) Major Rule of the facility may result in a disciplinary hearing and placed in Secure Detention. The detainee’s due process rights shall be protected throughout the process. These violations can result in sanctions or lockdown for periods not to exceed seven (7) days for each infraction unless violation includes violence, which can result in lockdown not to exceed 30 days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster County Youth Services</td>
<td>Classification 1: 11-15 day room restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Classification 2: 6-10 day room restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Classification 3: 4-5 day room restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Classification 4: 1-3 day room restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Nebraska Juvenile Services Center (Norfolk)</td>
<td>4. Major Rule Violation. A resident found guilty of a major rule violation by the Disciplinary Hearing Officer may be placed on Room Restriction for no more than nine (9) days per rule violation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarpy County Juvenile Justice Center</td>
<td>Sarpy County has not promulgated any policies which limit time that youth may be subjected to solitary confinement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotts Bluff County Detention Center</td>
<td>You will not have any contact with people at court without permission from the supervising staff. Inappropriate behavior in the court room may earn a consequence up to 3-7 day lock down with administrator approval.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These policies demonstrate a disturbing lack of uniformity at each of Nebraska’s juvenile detention centers.

It should be noted that juveniles in the custody of the Department of Corrections have been adjudicated as adults—but that label does not change the fact they are still under the age of 18 with the same vulnerabilities and ongoing brain development as their counterparts in the custody of DHHS.
A young Nebraskan's experience with solitary confinement is completely arbitrary and dependent upon the facility in which he or she is placed. For example, a youth who serves his or her time at the Geneva or Kearney Youth Rehabilitation Center could expect to spend no more than 5 days in solitary confinement, while a youth who spends his time at Nebraska Correctional Youth Facility could expect to spend up to 90 days in solitary confinement for a major rule violation.

Solitary logs from Lancaster County Youth Services demonstrate the seemingly often arbitrary and subjective use of solitary confinement as a form of punishment. The lack of state-wide standards leaves facilities with far too much discretion, often resulting in the use of solitary confinement for improper or unnecessary purposes. These are among the more trivial reasons a youth has been placed in solitary.

EXAMPLES OF SOLITARY CONFINEMENT USE IN NEBRASKA FACILITIES

02/26/2015 11:22 V201500289
SANCTION DATES: 02/26/2015 14:00:00 02/26/2015 15:00:00
OTHER SANCTION: EXCESSIVE NOCKS IN ROOM

03/03/2014 09:31 V201400238
SANCTION DATES: 03/04/2014 15:00:00 03/03/2014 21:00:00
OTHER SANCTION: NOT PASSING

05/08/2014 07:35 V201400434
SANCTION DATES: 05/08/2014 15:00:00 05/08/2014 18:00:00
OTHER SANCTION: TOO MANY BOOKS IN ROOM AGAIN

02/27/2015 18:07 V201500299
SANCTION DATES: 02/27/2015 18:10:00 02/27/2015 20:30:00
OTHER SANCTION: DIGGING IN TRASH FOR COOKIES/TOLD STOP/IN MD

06/09/2014 13:01 V201400556
SANCTION DATES: 06/09/2014 15:00:00 06/09/2014 21:00:00
OTHER SANCTION: PUTTING THE STRING OF HIS SOCK IN HIS MOUTH

06/25/2015 12:30 V201500846
SANCTION DATES: 06/25/2015 12:37:00 06/25/2015 13:15:00
OTHER SANCTION: TALKING IN HALLWAY

06/25/2015 11:08 V201500701
SANCTION DATES: 06/25/2015 12:30:00 06/25/2015 13:15:00
OTHER SANCTION: TALKING IN HALLWAY

02/28/2016 17:56 V201500310
SANCTION DATES: 02/26/2015 18:00:00 02/28/2015 19:00:00
OTHER SANCTION: HANGING ON THE WINDOW EDGE DOING PULL UPS

06/25/2015 12:30 V201500846
SANCTION DATES: 06/25/2015 12:37:00 06/25/2015 13:15:00
OTHER SANCTION: TALKING IN HALLWAY
### USE OF SOLITARY CONFINEMENT IN NEBRASKA JUVENILE FACILITIES

The Douglas County Youth Center and Scotts Bluff County Detention Center were unable to provide us with data about their use of solitary.

The ACLU conducted an open records request, asking each facility to provide logs showing length of stay and frequency of use of solitary for an 18-month period, covering January 2014 through June 2015. A full data table is available on page 31.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Time Served in Solitary (days)</th>
<th>Average Length of Stay in Solitary (hours)</th>
<th>Longest Single Stay in Solitary (days)</th>
<th>Shortest Stay in Solitary (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kearney  State Facility, 2013-2014</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>20.80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kearney  County Facility, 2014-2015</td>
<td>54.24</td>
<td>43.78</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarpy    County Facility, 2014-2015</td>
<td>12.18</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster State Facility, 2013-2014</td>
<td>455.85</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster County Facility, 2014-2015</td>
<td>2121.04</td>
<td>187.66</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Jacob was a status offender who was put in the Douglas County Youth Facility on three occasions from the time he was 15 to 17. On each stay, he was placed in lockdown. His first stay in lockdown was “for his own good” because he had a broken ankle. What might have been a few weeks in lockdown turned into three months after he pounded the door and swore, begging them to release him—he was charged with “inciting a riot.” His second and third visits to solitary lasted over three months each and arose after he was attacked by older detainees who were gang members.

Jacob received no therapeutic value from being placed in solitary confinement. He wasn’t regularly visited by a mental health counselor and says he wouldn’t have opened up even if he had been: “Therapy probably wouldn’t have worked—I wasn’t going to open up to the people who put me in a cage.”

Douglas County had two forms of lockdown in 2008 during Jacob’s time there—one on a separate solitary unit and one in his normal cell where he could look out his window at his peers but not interact with them.

It was 23 hours a day alone, no TV or radio. You were in there with one book, a blanket, a mat and a toothbrush. No art materials, no hobby items—everything was considered contraband. No classes or school while on lockdown. They’d bring you a packet of handouts but it was up to you whether you wanted to complete it or not. One hour a day, I’d be taken out and I could go to the gym but I was by myself even in the gym. I developed a pacing habit. I still do it now. I’d pace from one wall to the next. I’d pace and pace and imagine I was in one of the books I was reading. Nighttimes, you’d get a little crazy. They kept the light on and would wake us up every hour to check on you so you’d never get any good sleep.

Jacob now volunteers as a mentor for troubled youth and children in foster care. He wants to ensure no other child spends long months in solitary:

“These kids weren’t born tough or angry. These kids were dealing with abandonment and depression and abuse. Lockdown brings out all these demons. And if you don’t know how to deal with demons—you’re a kid, you don’t even know how to deal with normal emotions yet—then you’re sitting there by yourself, nowhere to go and every negative thing you’ve been told about yourself seems to be coming true. Every time I look at the news, someone I was in jail with or someone I mentored is going to prison for life. They go to the system for correction—they go in as sheep—and they come out as wolves. If a factory pumped out a bad product over and over again, you wouldn’t blame the product, you’d go back to the factory and try to fix that instead.
Nebraska can and must do better for our vulnerable youth. Alternatives to solitary confinement produce positive results and less damage to children. As such, this report includes an inventory of best practices and successful models for reform that should be considered by Nebraska policymakers, thought leaders, regulators, and facility managers.

National best practices for managing youth uniformly include strict limitations on the duration of and procedures for placing youth in isolation. The American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry recommends that solitary confinement be used only as an immediate safety mechanism. The Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative narrows that window to only 4 hours. This is because the negative effects of the prolonged isolation of youth, whether intended to protect or punish, far outweigh any purported benefits. Indeed, despite its pervasive use and well-known harms, prolonged isolation serves no correctional purpose. There is no research to support the prolonged isolation of children as a therapeutic tool or to promote positive behavior. In fact, interactive treatment programs are more successful at reducing behavior problems and mental health problems in youth, while isolation provokes and worsens these problems.

States all across the country are safely and successfully limiting the solitary confinement of juveniles in custody. Reports indicate that state juvenile justice agencies have implemented policy changes in recent years increasingly limiting isolation practices, with a majority of state agencies limiting isolation to a maximum of five days. Several of Nebraska’s neighboring states, including South Dakota, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, and Arkansas have policies that limit the use of isolation to five days or less.

Six states – Alaska, Connecticut, Maine, Nevada, Oklahoma, and West Virginia – by statute have limited certain forms of isolation in juvenile detention facilities. In some of these states, lawmakers have passed substantive bans on punitive isolation or on isolation for periods longer than 72 hours. In others, such as Nevada, strict reporting requirements have been implemented, to monitor the system-wide use of isolation. Meanwhile, other states have adopted more systemic models that eliminate the need for isolation. New York, for instance, has moved completely away from using isolation by implementing the “Sanctuary Model,” which emphasizes trauma-informed care in lieu of punitive responses to youth misbehavior. Recently, Illinois has taken an important and progressive step in prohibiting solitary confinement of juveniles, as well as implementing policies that tightly limit and regulate any separation of youths from the general population for safety reasons.

Best practices suggested by the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative and the Performance Based Standards Initiative permit brief periods of isolation as long as they are supervised. The American Correctional Association standards would also permit solitary confinement but with approximately equivalent living conditions and privileges including more staff attention rather than less.

Nebraska policymakers should consider establishing and implementing uniform statewide policies for the use of solitary confinement which can be applied to all our juvenile facilities. As more states begin to move away from solitary confinement for youth, Nebraska should consider banning solitary as
well. Establishing clear policies, ensuring strong education and implementation efforts at the agency and facility level, and providing for effective ongoing oversight are critical elements of successful reform. Such legislation could include reforms that place limitations on when and how solitary can be used:

- Solitary confinement is not to be used as a disciplinary measure or as punishment except after all other less-restrictive options have been exhausted, in extreme circumstances, which must be documented, and should not be used for four hours or longer.

- Any juvenile placed in disciplinary or punitive room confinement must be provided due process protections, including the opportunity to know the reason for the decision, to appeal the decision in writing and with an advocate present.

- Solitary confinement of a juvenile for longer than four hours should be approved by the director of the juvenile facility and documented in writing. A mental health professional should also provide an assessment of the youth placed in solitary confinement for over four hours.

- The staff member who authorized the use of seclusion should file a written report with the head of the institution or facility setting forth the circumstances of the action and the reason for the use of seclusion.

- Each juvenile facility should ensure clear documentation regarding the usage of solitary confinement, including all of the following data points:
  1) The name and age of the person subject to solitary confinement.
  2) The date and time the person was placed in solitary confinement.
  3) The date and time the person was released from solitary confinement.
  4) A description of circumstances leading to use of solitary confinement.
  5) A description of alternative actions and sanctions attempted and found unsuccessful.

- Mandating that all staff working in youth facilities have training in youth development, mental health, and de-escalation techniques as part of the academy and annual refresher training. Staff need more positive skills to deal with kids, especially kids with backgrounds of abuse and trauma, so part of reform is empowering staff to do their job better.
CONCLUSION

Solitary confinement and isolation of children is psychologically and developmentally damaging and can result in long-term problems and even suicide. Nebraska’s laws, policies, and practices must be reformed to ensure that conditions in the juvenile justice system are effective and safe – and that they prioritize protection and rehabilitation.

Working together we firmly believe that meaningful reforms have the capacity to ensure improved conditions of confinement curing potential constitutional violations for Nebraska youth, mitigation of risk and legal liability for the State and counties which have jurisdiction over juvenile detention facilities, and most importantly improved outcomes for vulnerable children in Nebraska now and well into the future.


While theoretically young women adjudicated as adults will be housed in York at the women’s prison, there are few young female detainees at any given time so this report’s statistics only reflect the young men in custody at the Nebraska Correctional Youth Facility.


United States v. Arizona, 567 U.S. 302 (2012) (holding 12 million immigration detainees are not “aliens” for purposes of the “national origins” clause of § 16 of the 14th Amendment); United States v. Arizona, 567 U.S. 421 (2012) (invalidating Arizona’s immigration enforcement law, SB 1070); United States v. Texas, 579 U.S. ___ (2016) (holding that § 287(c)(9) of the Immigration and Nationality Act used to deport individuals arrested on state charges, whether or not the arrest violates rights protected by the Constitution, is not an impermissibly vague or overbroad provision (even if the law permits the discretion to be exercised under the influence of bias or political considerations)).
Nev. Rev. Stat. § 62B (children may be subjected to “corrective room restriction” only if all other less-restrictive options have been exhausted and only for listed purposes, and no child may be locked alone in a room for longer than 72 hours).

Okla. Admin. Code, 377:35-11-4, Solitary Confinement (noting that solitary confinement of youth is a “serious and extreme measure to be imposed only in emergency situation”).

W.V. Code §49-5-106, Rules governing juvenile facilities (solitary confinement may not be used to punish a juvenile and except for sleeping hours, a juvenile may not be locked alone in a room unless that juvenile is “not amenable to reasonable direction and control.”); but see W.V. Div. Juvenile Serv., Pol’y No. 330.00, Institutional Operations, at 9, available at http://www.wvdjs.state.wv.us/Portals/0/Files/330.00-%20Resident%20Discipline.pdf (permitting up to ten days room confinement as a sanction for some offenses).


Performance Based Standards, supra note 28, at 4-6.


The Douglas County Youth Center and Scotts Bluff County Detention Center were unable to provide us with data about their use of solitary.